BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY
P.O. BOX 8, SOUTH SHIELDS
TYNE AND WEAR, NE33 1LP

British Nationalist

FOR RACE AND NATION

NOVEMBER 1985

12p

BNP

BRITAIN'S RACE RIOTS

GOVERNMENT CAVES IN TO BLACK VIOLENCE

Home Secretary Hurd spells out continuing policy of weakness, appeasement and surrender

OVER THE MONTH OR SO leading up to the Tory Party conference at Blackpool Britain was subjected to the most vicious race riots to have taken place so far. Firearms were used in murderous attacks on British policemen in addition to the usual petrol bombs, and in Tottenham one officer was bloodily hacked to death with knives and machetes by a crazed, mainly Negro mob. In these weeks the level of black violence and hatred against British society attained a wholly new level of intensity.

BLACK VIOLENCE
The angry face of the mob at Handsworth

Mrs. Thatcher and the Tories rode to office in 1979 on bombastic claims that they stood for the restoration of law and order, and by cunningly making suggestons to the electorate — now entirely discredited — that they had some intention of preventing Britain being ''swamped'' by alien immigrants. Now, after 6½ years of Thatcher administration, Britain has undergone the most rapid and catastrophic degeneration into chaos ever recorded in her history. This has run parallel to the tightening stranglehold of multi-racialism over ever larger areas of our country.

In these circumstances decent law-abiding Britons have a right to demand of their ruling political leaders a vigorous response to such a descent into anarchy as we have just witnessed. But so far Margaret Thatcher herself — the so-called 'Iron Lady' of Tory mythology — has not even had the guts to explain her and her Government's record of feeble capitulation to this alien onslaught. In fact she has remained silent right up to the time of this paper's going to press.

That Britain can expect no lead whatsoever from Mrs. Thatcher and the Tories, in face of the gravest ever threat to our national existence, is perhaps best shown by the recent choice of appointment for Home Secretary. Mrs. Thatcher evidently thought it appropriate in the period immediately preceding the Handsworth riot to pick for that post one of her party's weakest 'liberal' jellyfish, Mr. Douglas Hurd.

DISASTROUS RECORD

When he became Home Secretary, Hurd had completed a disastrous term of office as Secretary for Northern Ireland. There his policy was one of feeble appeasement of IRA terrorists, coupled with sly repression against the loyal British majority of the province. Mrs. Thatcher seems to have judged this arch-wet to be just the right choice of minister to take charge of law and order on Mainland Britain!

British people received their first sight of the new Home Secretary on their TV screens when Hurd was shown making a visit to Handsworth during the riots there. In one prominent shot he was filmed attempting to 'reason' with a hysterical mob of rastafarians. The confrontation ended with Hurd fleeing ignominiously under a hail of missiles from the black mob!

In an address to a Tory audience in Cambridge Hurd had just spelled out his policy on race riots and black violence. He had to admit that the riots were ''disgraceful and criminal''. But then, incredibly, he went on to suggest that the Blacks in Britain rioted because they were suffering from something called ''racial disadvantage'' *(Hurd's own phrase)*!

This was after black rioters and criminals had been rewarded over the past 4 years, following the 1981 riots, with ''community policing'', which, in accordance with Lord Scarman's recommendations, meant the creation by the police of special no-go areas in the black ghettos where crime was allowed to proceed at will without the powers of law enforcement doing anything!

And as a by-product of this same policy Whites living in or close to those ghettos are largely abandoned to rioting mobs where police intervention might provoke further rioting!

Hurd's yammering about this ''racial disadvantage'' follows, on his own admission, the expenditure by his Government since 1981 of **no less than £1½ billion** of British taxpayers'

Contd. overleaf

BRITAIN TURNING TOWARDS US

THE BRITISH PEOPLE are becoming increasingly Nationalistically minded, says an opinion poll recently conducted by The Mail on Sunday newspaper, the results of which were printed on October 27th.

According to the poll, which was conducted nationwide, there was ''a strong streak of muscular nationalism'' in those interviewed. This was revealed especially in answers to questions on race and immigration and on the Falkland Islands.

Opinion poll shows people becoming more Nationalist

Said The Mail on Sunday commenting on attitudes to ethnic minorities: ''There was no evidence — even among those feeling that Britain was becoming a less caring society — of special sympathy for the black ethnic minorities.''

''The favoured remedy for racial tension reamins — as in a succession of previous polls — stop any more Blacks coming here and persuade as many as possible already here to go home,'' the report continued.

It went on the give figures of 67 per-cent as supporting a ban on all further immigration, with only 25 per-cent in opposition. 64 per-cent approved the idea of assistance to immigrants to return to their countries of origin, while 27 per-cent opposed this.

On the issue of the Falklands it was found that 71 per-cent believed that Britain was right to send the task force to recover the islands, while only 22 per-cent held the opposite view.

It is of course common knowledge that many opinion polls published in newspapers are 'rigged' so as to produce the impression of a consensus favourable to the parties or policies favoured by the papers in question, but that is hardly likely in this case. The Daily Mail group, of which The Mail on Sunday is a part, while a little less 'wet' on racial issues than some left-wing papers, is strongly opposed to policies of repatriation or to any total ban on immigrants coming into Britain. It is therefore extremely doubtful that such a paper would 'rig' an opinion poll so as to give the impression that the public supported those policies.

It must also be taken into account that a not insubstantial portion of those interviewed by the pollsters must themselves have been members of ethnic minority groups — making it likely that among white Britons the answers would have been even more weighted in favour of Nationalist ideas.

The poll bears out what we have long beleieved: that the British people at the grass roots lean more towards Nationalism and the principles of the British National Party than towards the doctrines of the other parties, and that this tendency is, if anything, increasing.

This strong public sentiment has of course not so far been reflected in any substantial swing towards Nationalist parties at the polls. There are three main reasons for this:-

Firstly, a Nationalist party has not yet emerged with sufficient strength to present a viable and credible alternative to the other parties, which have vast financial resources behind them — plus of course the power of the media. Just such a Nationalist movement was beginning to gain ground in the 1970s but it later floundered through internal division.

Secondly, and because of this present weakness in the Nationalist ranks, large numbers of people at elections who would otherwise vote Nationalist fear that by doing so they would waste their votes, and therefore vote for what they see as the least evil among the Old Gang.

Finally, because the lies and distortion of the media, Nationalist parties themselves (though not Nationalist principles) have been given a certain 'unsavoury' flavour, while in the meantime many people have been persuaded that the Nationalist ideas in which they believe are still held by the Tory Party, and they therefore still see the Tory Party as the best hope for their ideals to be put into practice.

All these things point to the same need: for a stronger and much larger Nationalist movement, with more activists nationwide.

When all these requirements are met, and when the deterioration of Britain has proceeded still further, our opportunity will come!

British
Nationalist
No. 51 NOVEMBER 1985
Editor: John Morse

COMMONWEALTH CAPERS

THE RECENT conference of Commonwealth Prime Ministers held in the West Indies showed what a ludicrous anachronism that organisation has become.

A group photograph taken during the conference underlined the absurdity. With a tiny few exceptions, all those participating were non-white. They represented wholly different nations with wholly different political systems and ideologies and, not least, different and often conflicting interests. Just what should draw all these leaders together from across the world to meet and confer, at great public expense, is, to say the least, highly obscure.

The dominating theme of the conference, as everyone knows, was the matter of sanctions against South Africa. Everybody there was against South Africa — about that there was no argument; the only bone of contention was whether opposition to that country should extend into economic sanctions against her or not.

Mrs. Thatcher, representing Britain, did not want sanctions; most of the others did. In the end a compromise was reached: just a little bit of sanctions but not enough to have any real effect.

And of course the whole basis for Mrs. Thatcher's opposition to sanctions was that they would hurt South Africa's Blacks. Hurting that country's Whites — at least a million of which are of pure British descent and many more of partially British descent, that did not matter!

All in all, this conference revealed the total farce that the Commonwealth has now become.

The politicians of the Old Gang destroyed the British Empire, which was at least a meaningful and worthwhile institution. To cover up their work of destruction they pretended that this institution still existed under a different name. Hence the 'Commonwealth'.

In due course even that pretence was dropped and we now see the Commonwealth for what it always was — a big waste of everyone's money and time. Indeed it probably has only one use today: as just another instrument for promoting multi-racialism and 'justifying' the continued coloured invasion and mongrelisation of Britain.

As well of course as another excuse for Britain giving away more millions on overseas 'aid'.

There is only one part of the Commonwealth that is in the slightest way worth preserving, and that is the part comprising the white nations, namely Britain, Australia, New Zealand and Canada.

We should keep these nations together — they have much in the way of common interest — and we should endeavour in the future to make the bonds between them stronger rather than weaker.

As for the rest, we should tell them to go their own way and get them once and for all off our backs!

THE TRUTH COMES OUT

Soft policing of black areas a total failure

THE CONSEQUENCES of the weak-kneed Government approach to black violence were vividly demonstrated at the time of the Handsworth riot. As a result of Home Office policies of appeasing Blacks with "sensitive policing" ill-equipped police, armed only with defensive shields and small truncheons, were required to face black mobs hurling petrol bombs.

In the aftermath of the savage riot on Broadwater Farm Estate in Tottenham, culminating in the violent death of PC Keith Blakelock, London police demonstrated their anger to Metropolitan Commissioner Sir Kenneth Newman in a revolt against the sickly 'liberal' policies which have led to their being made Aunt Sallies for rioters armed with petrol bombs, machetes and now firearms.

At the Annual London Police Federation meeting, where the 3,000 delegates representing the Metropolitan force's 'front line' officers heckled the Commissioner, Mr. John Newman (no relation) revealed that, in addition to the dead constable, no fewer than 243 other policemen were injured at Tottenham, 5 of them seriously. In addition, Mr. Newman frankly told the Commissioner that more inner city riots are inevitable, and that weakness in policing these areas only makes such violence more likely.

"Handsworth, Toxteth, Brixton, Peckham and Tottenham," he said, "will be repeated again and again."

Mr. Newman also implicitly exposed the whole multi-racial set-up, forced on British police and public alike since 1981, and sustained by lies both by mass media and government. He remarked that:-

> "...the murder of PC Blakelock in the Tottenham riot endorsed a well-known but little publicised fact — there are particular sections of the community who do not want to be policed. Their aim is to create areas of London — and elsewhere — free of legal constraint and totally outside the laws of the land.
> "We, the police, have known this for years but have accepted the political pressures put upon us by Scarman's one-sided report — the recommendations by Lord Scarman after the 1981 Brixton riot for community-sensitive policing."

P.C. BLAKELOCK
Brutally murdered because he did not have adequate protection

APPEASEMENT IMPOSSIBLE

Thus the riots, including the bloody murder of PC Blakelock, coming at the end of four years of Scarman-style 'community policing', clearly prove that the lawless black element, now a dominant factor in most of Britain's inner cities, cannot be appeased or made 'reasonable' in the White Man's sense of the term. This was proved over a long period leading up to the riots.

A similar pattern of weakness on the part of those in authority, leading to escalating black violence against police and finally to full-scale rioting was seen in the Toxteth area of Liverpool. The pathetic wishful thinking of Merseyside Chief Constable Kenneth Oxford was shown in his statement in August that there was some evidence that Toxteth's residents had responded to his 'softly-softly' style of policing and that this had helped to "create a friendship" between them and his officers!

In reality, as Merseyside Police Federation representatives have protested, 'community policing' has given the mostly black criminal element in Toxteth a near free hand, and led to **increasing** attacks on policemen.

In early Summer three officers in Toxteth were attacked with iron-railing 'spears' when a police car was ambushed by a gang of stone-throwing black youths in Granby Street. Senior officers ordered the patrol car to turn back. When a local Black was arrested, in late August, in the course of a murder enquiry an aggressive black mob laid siege to the Admiral Street police station where he was held. The station was surrounded and bricks thrown through its windows; cars were damaged; one officer was hurt; a police caravan was overturned and set on fire; finally the mob invaded the station itself.

No effort whatever was made to evict the mob, nor was there any attempt to break up the demonstration outside, despite the obviously serious breach of the peace which it had occasioned. No police reinforcements were sent to the area. **Not one arrest was made.**

By October it had become clear to the Toxteth Blacks that neither the Government, nor the local City Council, nor the area Chief Constable, had the slightest determination to uphold law and order in the area. Toxteth once more erupted into a primitive tribal rampage of looting and arson, punctuated by vicious attacks of assault and robbery on any Whites passing through the area, including of course police and firemen (a new target for black rioters these days, in both Liverpool and London).

The latest outbreaks of black rioting up and down Britain have followed years of 'community policing' carried out according to Scarman's recipe.

Elementary knowledge of the countries and 'cultures' of Britain's ethnically non-white communities should have told us long ago that this would happen. These races know and respect only one form of authority: **ruthless force.** Anything less is despised as weakness. Third World populations have never been policed successfully "by consent". If we in Britain insist on continuing to think we can keep order in the largely black inner city areas on the basis of such 'consent' we are doomed to suffer even greater breakdowns of order in the future.



BRITAIN'S INNER CITIES IN 1985
Scenes like this show that soft police methods are hopeless. Soon Britain's police forces in these areas will have to look and act like armies

WHAT IS NEEDED

The ultimate solution to this problem — the only solution — is **repatriation**. Pending the carrying out of that policy, we must equip our police properly to maintain order in the face of these savage mobs — and stop expecting them to operate with one hand tied behind their back for fear of 'offending' the 'ethnic minorities' and the 'race relations' lobby.

As part of this we need to carry out a thorough purge of Britain's wet and 'liberal' chief constables. Recent Police Federation protests have highlighted the growing gulf between the ordinary bobbies and junior officers on the one hand and the police 'top brass' on the other. This was also spelled out by a recent letter by an unnamed police constable to *The Daily Mail* in which the writer said that it seemed to him that the higher up the police ranks one got the more the senior officers seemed to be influenced by "political" considerations in their task of law enforcement. In fact the police high-ups seemed to be politicians first and policemen second.

This of course is no surprise, for it is state policy to fill the senior positions in Britain's police forces with men who are faithful 'establishment' servants, irrespective of record and merit as policemen. This has created a situation in which the ordinary police officer who has to deal with trouble on his 'patch' is fast losing respect for his chiefs — a sure recipe for a decline in police morale and efficiency.

This state of affairs is one for which successive British Governments have been responsible, and none more so than the present Tory Government.

If this policy is not changed, only a steady descent into further anarchy and ultimate chaos can result, with the White Man's law and order supplanted by the rule of the jungle.

J.M.

GOVERNMENT CAVES IN TO BLACK VIOLENCE *(Contd.)*

money on its so-called "urban programme" — which is just a euphemism for a programme specifically designed to give special favours to Blacks.

APPEAL TO 'REASON'
The Home Secretary tries to talk to Handsworth's Blacks. A few minutes later he had to flee for his life

And now Mr. Hurd says that Blacks are suffering from "racial disadvantage"!

The implication of all this is that the squalid conditions under which many of Britain's Blacks live is not due to any shortcomings on their part but is the fault of the White Man and white society!

DISCRIMINATION AGAINST WHITES

Hurd has now further announced, in the wake of the recent riots, that special schemes will be set up and financed by Britain's taxpayers to help Blacks start their own businesses — i.e. more discrimination against white people, who will not be the beneficiaries of such schemes.

In addition to this, a recent policy announced is that of giving especially favourable consideration, in the handing out of government contracts, to firms which can show large numbers of Blacks on their workforces — a policy which cuts right across the Governmemt's supposed principles of competition in the free market.

Home Secretary Hurd has not so far, either at the Tory Party Conference or anywhere else, given any indication of policies for protecting the law-abiding white folk of Britain from black violence — in fact the only "racial attacks" over which he has shown any concern are those allegedly directed against Asians and other immigrant groups by Whites.

Another bright proposal of the new Home Secretary is that Blacks are likely to be induced not to riot by the recruitment of more of them into Britain's police forces!

A classic case, it would seem, of solving the problems of poaching by turning the poachers into gamekeepers.

RIOTING PAYS

The message of the Government's policy in response to the riots is plain: rioting pays! What the Government has said to the Blacks is this: "If you are at all unhappy with your lot, it is the White Man's society that is to blame. If you riot in protest against all this, you will not yourselves be made to pay; it will be the mainly white taxpayers who will have to pay, and you in fact will be rewarded with yet more hand-outs, more concessions and more special privileges."

In other words, the Government has just given the green light for further black rioting.

What better evidence could there be that this spineless Tory administration has caved in to black violence?

What the Government, from Mrs. Thatcher downwards, has been afraid to admit is that the big multi-racial experiment, which the Tories, along with the other leading parties, has promoted, has proved a gigantic failure, and that there is now only one realistic policy remaining to us if we are to prevent a further intensification of rioting and burning: that is to repatriate the non-white population from this country.

And there is only one political movement on the British horizon today that is prepared to carry out this policy: THE BRITISH NATIONALISTS!

CHUNNEL OR BRIDGE: BRITAIN CAN DO WITHOUT IT

THEY'RE AT IT AGAIN! The politicians are reviving the old and thoroughly worn-out idea of a 'fixed link', i.e. a route over the English Channel to France by means of tunnel or bridge.

The Government has given its approval and work is to begin soon. The only question still remaining is that of the precise 'link' to be chosen. One idea is for a tunnel. Another idea is for a bridge. And there is a third idea, which is that of something that will be part tunnel and part bridge.

If the link is built, it is estimated that about 50,000 people working in the area of the South East Coast of Kent will be thrown out of their jobs. These are the people whose employment is connected with the cross-channel boat services.

Of course quite a lot of jobs will be created in the building of the 'link' but most of them will only last for as long as it is being built. When it is finished, the only jobs remaining will be those involved in maintaining it — i.e. a small fraction of 50,000.

And just how much cheaper will be it be to cross the Channel when the link is built? Judging by present estimates, very little cheaper, if any cheaper at all!

And how much quicker? Not very much. Progress across (or under) the Channel itself will of course be quicker, but the link will not do away with the delays at either end — the delays caused by customs and of course queues.

PURPOSE IS POLITICAL

So what really is the purpose of the Channel Tunnel or Bridge, as the case may be?

The purpose, as any intelligent person will know, is essentially POLITICAL. For years the politicians, in pursuit of their dream of swallowing Britain up in a European union, have been trying to find ways of convincing us that we are no longer an island and that nothing really separates us from the Continent.

The 'fixed link' is just intended to be a symbol of this idea. They want it to be a monument to 'European unity', nothing more.

Like the Concorde of course. Vast sums of money were spent on this aeroplane which might have otherwise have been put into an all-British civil airliner that could have competed with the Americans.

And what do we now have? An Anglo-French plane in which very few people fly while the world's air routes are dominated by Boeing Jumbos.

Concorde, lke the 'fixed link', was just a political symbol, conceived without the slightest regard for its economic viability.

The 'fixed link' will be just another big white elephant. Like the others of its kind, it is something that Britain can well do without.

MOTOR MADNESS

How internationalism is ruining the British car industry

BRITISH CAR WORKERS at Fords are producing one car every 70 hours, according to the company's European chief Bob Lutz. This compares with one car every 35 hours produced in Fords' German factories and one every 18 hours in the average car factory in Japan.

FORD
Low productivity in Britain but how much is due to depressed home market?

And in the case of Fords in Britain and Germany these figures are produced under exactly the same conditions in the way of machinery.

Apologists for the Government's economic policies have eagerly seized on these figures as vindication of those policies. The British worker just doesn't work hard enough, they are saying. We will only start to be competitive and win back our lost trade if there is a greater work rate in industries such as the motor industry. This low workrate, and not the Government's economic policies, are to blame for high unemployment.

The reality is not so simple, however. In the first place British productivity has not, as Tory economic theory would have it, been increased as a result of the stimulus of increasing competition in the home market from foreign car makers.

The British market has in fact been invaded by foreign motor products for many years, and performance is still almost as bad as ever.

LOW SALES THE CAUSE

What in fact is the major contributary factor in the low productivity rate among many motor manufacturers in Britain, particularly Fords, is simply that these manufacturers are not able to sell anything like enough cars to keep their plants and workforces at full stretch. In Tory terminology this amounts to 'over-manning' and justifies big reductions in the workforce, leading of course to yet more unemployed.

But there is in fact a much better alternative: this is to sell more British cars in Britain.

Under present conditions this could only be done by closing the home market to foreign manufacturers. Home production could then be enormously expanded and productivity per head could enormously increase.

In the case of Germany, and still more Japan, a far higher proportion of cars on the roads in those countries are home produced than in Britain. Both countries' car industries therefore have a strong home market base, which gives them massive advantages in sales, and therefore productivity.

For Britain to exploit fully the home market that exists here by shutting out imports would of course be contrary to the doctrines of internationalism which underlie all Tory, and other parties', economic policies. That is why our motor industry continues to decline.

LARGELY MADE ABROAD

The same madness of internationalism can be seen in the situation at Vauxhall, once a British firm but now just a subsidiary of America's General Motors. As a result of Vauxhall being part of a huge international operation a large part of Vauxhall production has been transferred abroad. Now even those Vauxhall cars coming as finished products out of factories here contain an enormous number of parts produced overseas.

The company has, as part of this, transferred all its design and research work out of this country.

This has now led even to the Government becoming concerned over the effects of its own internationalist policies. Trade Secretary Leon Brittan recently approached Vauxhall's management and expressed his apprehension over the very small content of Vauxhall cars now being produced in British factories. The new Belmont saloon, for instance, is to be built at the firm's Merseyside works but most of it will simply be assembled parts made abroad.

The whole British motor industry is in an appalling mess for one reason above all: it is no longer British but **international**, and it has to operate within a trading framework which is equally international and in which all the aces are in the hands of its competitors.

The motor industry in this country will not get on its feet until (1) it is wholly British-owned and controlled, as the Japanese car industry is Japanese-owned and controlled; and (2) when it operates behind trade barriers by which the British home market is protected as the exclusive preserve of that British-owned industry.

These policies will not alone restore our car industry to health; there are of course other improvements that are needed.

But these improvements can only be effectively carried out within a framework of **economic nationalism**, with the two major priorities that we have outlined. As long as we stick to the same discredited internationalist policies followed by the present Government we can only expect further running down of the industry — to the point at which eventually we will have no motor industry at all.

VAUXHALL
Turned out by factory in Britain but how many parts are foreign?

BOOKS FOR YOUR LIBRARY

Here are a few of the books available from the BNP Book Service. The figures in brackets represent packing and postage charges. Send cash with orders or write for full list to: PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

THE DISPOSSESSED MAJORITY (Wilmot Robertson) £7.50 (£1.72). This book has attracted worldwide attention dealing as it does with racial problems in America and not simply the black-white situation. 2nd Ed. 1981, 613pp.

RACE AND REALITY (Carlton Putnam) £4.00 (40p). A companion volume and supplement to *Race and Reason*, showing how the truth about the race issue has been suppressed by interested parties. 1967 (rep. 1977), 192pp.

VENTILATIONS (Wilmot Robertson) £4.50 (34p). The sequel to the same author's widely acclaimed *Dispossessed Majority*. Revised ed. 1982, 113pp.

THE LEMMING FOLK (James Stuart Gibb) £7.95 (66p). A witty and factual examination of current attitudes and intellectual fashions that are threatening our future. 1980, 246pp.

THE GRAND DESIGN (Douglas Reed) £2.00 (18p). A 'behind the scenes' look at modern world history. 1977, 45pp.

THE GLOBAL MANIPULATORS (Robert Eringer) £2.50 (34p). While the author repudiates any conspiratorial view of world events, he still provides a comprehensive exposure of the organisation and influence of the Bilderberg Group and the Trilateral Commission. 1980, 95pp.

PEACE STUDIES IN OUR SCHOOLS — PROPAGANDA FOR DEFENCELESSNESS (John Marks) £2.95 (40p). An important new book on a major current educational scandal — the indoctrination of schoolchildren by pacifist and unilateralist educationalists. This topical and factual study makes it plain that we are not simply confronted with odd teachers here and there abusing their positions but a whole network of organisations and institutions, some publicly funded, co-ordinating the attack on young people's minds. 1984, 63pp.

New headquarters address

Would all readers please note that as from this month the official headquarters address for the British National Party is changed. The party will no longer be using the PO Box at Hove, in Sussex. Henceforth all communications should be sent to the new address, which is: PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

This change of official address only reflects the fact that most of the headquarters administration is now being carried out in the London area, as has been the case for some while.

Correspondence sent to Hove will of course still be redirected, but this will inevitably involve a delay in dealing with it. All those writing to the party, therefore, are advised to remember at all times to use the new address that we have given.

YOUR LETTERS

Sir, — I am utterly sick to death of seeing Blacks pushed to the forefront on TV, both in home-grown films and programmes and those imported from America.

No doubt those responsible would defend their policy by saying that simply reflects life as it is either side of the Atlantic, with Blacks and other 'ethics' forming a large part of the community. The way these people are depicted, in fact, does not correspond to real life at all; Blacks are shown as doctors, police detectives, smart lawyers and clever motor mechnics — and of course almost always as 'goodies'. Frequently they are shown demonstrating by their superior brainpower to stupid Whites how things should rightly be done. Hardly ever, needless to say, are they shown as criminals.

I notice also an increasing drive to 'normalise' race mixture by showing black-white sexual relationships.

Now of course Blacks and Asians have their own special TV spots — surely a 'racist' development! I wonder why white patriots are not given such spots for their own programmes?

All this, however, has a good side. The more millions of ordinary folk see how the media are being used to depict situations that do not remotely correspond to real life, the more they will start to sit up and ask questions, such as ''who is controlling us?'' In fact I notice that already a good many are.

(Mrs.) L.M.FOSTER
Broadstairs, Kent

Sir, — Isn't it interesting how The Queen has spent so long in her recent trips around the West Indies? The Monarch's time is supposed to be limited, but it seems that for weeks on end Her Majesty has been commuting from one Caribbean island to another. I cannot recall her ever spending so long in the White Commonwealth countries, like Canada, Australia and New Zealand.

No doubt there is a purpose in all this pantomime. Royal Family tours are, as we know, arranged by politicians. Could it be that the politicians have decided the time has come to launch a big public relations exercise to show lots of smiling West Indians demonstratng their 'loyalty' to the Crown and, by implication, to Britain as the 'Mother Country'? Not, I think, a wild guess in view of their declining popularity here!

C.E. BISHOP
Nottingham

Sir, — Your article last month about the spread of AIDS among sexually normal people due to contamination by queers was timely. This danger was highlighted by the film actress who was kissed by poofter Rock Hudson in a recent movie. It is a great pity that such contamination can occur, for if homosexuals only were prone to catch AIDS I would welcome it — in the end it would wipe out the entire queer population!

R.S. McALLISTER
Edinburgh

GREAT LONDON RALLY

Attendance best ever

BY UNANIMOUS AGREEMENT the London rally staged on the 12th October by the British National Party was the most successful event in the party's history.

Nearly 250 people packed the hall in Central London where the rally was held, coming from all parts of the country from Scotland to Cornwall.

The meeting was then opened by Chairman for the day Stanley Clayton-Garnett, who introduced David Bruce, National Activities Organiser, as the first speaker. Mr. Bruce gave a report of activities for the year in which he showed how the BNP had had the most successful year for activities and publicity ever, finally breaking through from anonymity to national headlines.

There followed local reports from five areas, given by Ian Sloan (Liverpool), Eric Brand (Glasgow), David Owens (Leeds), John Peacock (Leicester) and Tony Wells (Redbridge, London).

Next item on the agenda was a lively discussion time in which views were given from the floor. The BNP does not waste its members' time getting them to vote over endless motions whose result is preordained from the start, but it does welcome constructive ideas from all quarters for the leadership to consider.

David Bruce again took the platform after this and made a collection appeal. Just over £1,000 was raised.

John Tyndall then made the final speech in which he took the audience through the conferences of the old parties, exposing the barrenness of their policies and in particularly slamming the Tories for their ludicrous appeals for law and order against the background of a Tory Government that had permitted the rule of anarchy. Mr. Tyndall then explained the Nationalist alternative ending with his picture of a Nationalist Government which would "build again where they (the Old Gang) had destroyed." There the meeting ended in tremendous enthusiasm.

Afterwards old friendships were renewed, and new ones made, in an enjoyable informal social in the bar downstairs.

LONDON RALLY: A SHOT OF THE PLATFORM
The audience of nearly 250 was the highest ever in attendance at a British National Party meeting. The mood of the meeting was electric. By unanimous opinion the rally was the best the party has ever staged.

Contact your local party unit

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is organised into active units extending over most of the areas of the United Kingdom. Below we give a list of the local addresses of the main units.

NORTH LONDON
PO Box 462, Greenwood Road, Hackney, London E8 4HH

SOUTH LONDON
PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS

Bromley
PO Box 457, London SE20 7QE

EAST KENT
PO Box 8, Ashford, Kent

DEVON & CORNWALL
PO Box 75, Plymouth PL1 1SQ

EAST ANGLIA (NORTH)
A9 Johnson Place, Norwich NR2 2SA

EAST ANGLIA (SOUTH)
2 Albert Road, Framlingham, Suffolk

EAST MIDLANDS
PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD

STAFFORDSHIRE
PO Box 320, Tunstall, Stoke-on-Trent

LIVERPOOL
PO Box 72, Liverpool L89 8AJ

MANCHESTER
PO Box 15, Salford M6 5EP

YORKSHIRE
Leeds
PO Box BR10, Bramley, Leeds 13

Wakefield
PO Box 42, Wakefield, Yorks.

Hull
PO Box 58, Hull HU6 7HR

TYNE & WEAR
PO Box 8, South Shields NE33 1LP

SCOTLAND
PO Box 85, Glasgow G3 8UL

The BNP also has units in West Kent, Basingstoke (Hants), Gloucestershire, Hertfordshire, Bedford, Cambridge, Devizes (Wilts), Birmingham, Brierley Hill, Coventry, Burton-on-Trent, Bolton & Bury, Warrington, Bradford, Halifax and Sheffield. If you want to make contact with any of these units, or start a new unit, please write to Party Head Office at: PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

LIVERPOOL NATIONALISTS SEE OFF RED BULLIES

WE ARE REPRINTING here an excerpt from a leaflet recently put out by the Labour Party Young Socialists in Liverpool following a fracas in which some of its members were involved with British Nationalists in the city centre. The content of the leaflet is absolutely hilarious.

The leaflet calls upon all good comrades to "mobilise against Fascist violence", and it goes on to report that on Saturday' the 19th October "anti-Fascist demonstrators" were attacked by BNP and National Front members armed with "chains, flails and clubs." Two women and a man, the claim goes on (by now we find ourselves reaching for our handkerchiefs) amd "a black youth from Liverpool 8 (Toxteth) had to be treated in hospital for head wounds."

What actually happened on the occasion was very different, as a report from Liverpool Nationalists makes clear. For several weeks BNP paper sellers had been attempting to operate in Church Street in the city centre and had become the targets of continual physical attacks by left-wing opponents several times larger in number. At last it was decided that these attacks could not be allowed to continue. On Saturday, October 5th, a small number of Nationalists stationed themselves in the shopping area with their newspapers. In due course they were attacked by a larger number of reds. Thereupon Nationalist reinforcements, who had been waiting round a corner out of sight, came rushing out and gave the reds a thoroughly good hiding.

On the following Saturday the reds turned up in force hoping to find the Nationalists there in numbers with which they could deal. They found none at all and imagined that the Nationalists had stayed at home and shirked the confrontation; in fact the Nationalists were all in London attending a national rally there.

On the next Saturday, the 19th, the reds turned up at the usual venue feeling very arrogant and cocky and on this occasion they brought with them a battery of weapons, including chains, flails and clubs.

Confronted by only three BNP paper sellers, their confidence grew. A group of them, largely black, came strutting up to the Nationalists and informed them that their presence there was "disturbing the community", then demanding that they go away. When the BNP men stood their ground the reds and Blacks laid into them with their various weapons. Imagine their dismay when **ten** more Nationalists who had been held in reserve around the corner came tearing to the aid of their mates. The Nationalists seized hold of the opponents' weapons and proceeded to give them a thoroughly good taste of their own medicine. The reds and their black friends ended up getting very much the worst of the encounter and a number of them sustained unpleasant injuries. In due course they ran away screaming about "Fascist brutality", etc., etc., etc.

Our Liverpool colleagues had a huge laugh a few days afterwards when they picked up a leaflet and learned that they, the Nationalists, had been the aggressors in the encounter and the reds and Blacks had been the innocent victims!

The upshot of all this is that Nationalist paper sellers have firmly established their right to distribute their literature in Liverpool city centre. They have done so, not by pious appeals for "justice" or "free speech", but by being prepared to stand up and fight the reds with the only methods of persuasion they understand. Well done, Liverpool Nationalists, and thanks for the example — which we are sure others will not fail to note.

MOBILISE AGAINST FASCIST VIOLENCE!

LAST SATURDAY, the 19th October, ten members of the British National Party and the National Front, armed with chains, flails and clubs attacked anti-Fascist demonstrators. Two women and a man were injured seriously, and a black youth from Liverpool 8 had to be treated in hospital for head wounds.

POOR DEARS!
Young Socialist leaflet whines about beating up of comrades by Nationalists. In fact it was the left-wingers who started the trouble — they just happened to come off worst!

BNP Recordings

RALLY '82
Speeches from the BNP national rally in London on October 16th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'
Side 1: Includes speech by Charles Parker; **Side 2:** Speech by John Tyndall.

VOICES OF NATIONALISM
Side 1: Recordings of speeches from the Nationalist Unity rally in London on September 5th 1981. Hear John Tyndall, Kenneth McKilliam, Len Bearsford Walker and others. **Side 2:** Talk by John Tyndall on the coming British Revolution.

TYNDALL SPEAKS I
Side 1: Talk on 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage' (about the world-wide dispersal of the peoples of British stock); **Side 2:** Talk on 'Britain's economic crisis'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS II
Side 1: Talk on 'The case for Nationalism' (the internationalist argument demolished); **Side 2:** Talk on 'Tragedy of the 20th Century' (analysis of the results of the Second World War).

These 4 sets of recordings are presented in cassette form and are available at £3.50 each (with 18p postage) from: BNP Recordings, Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of Nationalist policies read *Spearhead*, a 20 page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 50p. Annual subscription £8.00. 63p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

BUY *BRITISH NATIONALIST* IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers please order *only* in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £1.20 + 34p post
25 copies £2.70 + 98p post
50 copies £4.80 + £1.72 post
100 copies £8.40 + £2.10 post
150 copies £12.00 + £2.30 post
200 copies £15.00 + £2.50 post
300 copies £21.00 + £2.65 post
400 copies £26.40 + £2.95 post
500 copies £32.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies £62.00) by roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £3.45 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £4.00 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

An additional charge of £3.00 is required on all foreign cheques.

Name .....

Address .....

.....

WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system which will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support of the Whites in South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to black dictatorships.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The smashing of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repeal of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening up of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.
Tick appropriate box

Please send me more information for which I enclose 20p. ☐

I wish to become a Full Member and enclose £6.00 (Half-rate for students and OAPs) ☐

I wish to become an Associate Member and enclose £3.00 (No half-rate) ☐

Name .....

Address .....

.....

Printed and published by BN Press, London SE23 2LS